UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/593,562	09/20/2006	Kenji Okada	25612-000006/US	2686
30593 7590 06/10/2010 HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C. P.O. BOX 8910 PRESTON, VA 20105			EXAMINER	
			BUSHEY, CHARLES S	
RESTON, VA 20195			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1797	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/10/2010	PAPER

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	10/593,562	OKADA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Scott Bushey	1797				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 M	arch 2010					
·— · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
<i>i</i>	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayre</i> , 1955 C.D. 11, 455 C.G. 215.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-22 and 24-42</u> is/are pending in t	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-22 and 24-42</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-19 and 34-42</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>20-22,24-28 and 31-33</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>28 and 29</u> is/are objected to.						
· <u> </u>						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>20 September 2006</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/593,562 Page 2

Art Unit: 1797

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 20, 21, 25, 28, and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Hall (Fig. 2; col. 2, lines 42-52).
- 3. Claims 20-22, 24-28, and 31-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by JP 54-36357 (Figs. 1-3; note especially the slit or notch (7) in the humidification element (6) of the Figures).
- 4. Claims 20, 21, 25, 28, and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Steiner (Figs. 1-7; col. 3, lines 1-6).

#### Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claims 1, 2, 4-19, and 34-42 are allowed for the reasons of record.
- 6. Claims 29 and 30 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

### Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed March 23, 2010 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Initially, it is noted that applicant refers often throughout the remarks sections to the Stengel reference, which although cited by the Examiner in the

Application/Control Number: 10/593,562 Page 3

Art Unit: 1797

previous Office action, was never applied to the claims of the instant application. It is thus evident that any arguments directed to the applicability of the Stengel reference to the instant claims are irrelevant to the issues at hand. With regard to instant claim 20, as amended and argued in the reply filed March 23, 2010, as instantly recited, claim 20 only requires a member being folded along a center fold portion in a zigzag shape, which is taught by each of the applied references (note Figs. 2 and 3 of Steiner, for example). With regard to the sketches and arguments related thereto, as set forth on pages 15 and 16 of the reply filed March 23, 2010, the claims are not limited to the center fold portion ever being placed on the inside bottom of a container or cup. With regard to instant claim 31, specifically the language directed to the humidifying segment being connected by a connecting portion, clearly each of the applied references teach that their respective elements are connected to one another and to at least a portion of the device by a connecting means or element, i.e., there is contact between the humidifying portions and there is contact between the humidifying element and the device which houses the element.

#### Conclusion

8. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Page 4

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Scott Bushey whose telephone number is 571 272-1153. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 6:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Duane Smith can be reached on 571 272-1166. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Scott Bushey Primary Examiner Art Unit 1797 Application/Control Number: 10/593,562 Page 5

Art Unit: 1797

/Scott Bushey/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1797